

On a class of evolution algebras of "chicken" population

A. Dzhumadil'daev

Kazak-British Technical University Tole bi 59, Almaty, 050000, Kazakhstan dzhuma@hotmail.com

B. A. Omirov^{*} and U. A. Rozikov[†]

Institute of Mathematics 29, Do'rmon Yo'li str., 100125 Tashkent Uzbekistan *omirov@mail.ru †rozikovu@yandex.ru

> Received 21 February 2014 Accepted 26 June 2014 Published 9 July 2014

This paper is devoted to the description of structure of evolution algebras of "chicken" population (EACP). Such an algebra is determined by a rectangular matrix of structural constants. Using the Jordan form of the matrix of structural constants we obtain a simple description of complex EACP. We give the classification of three-dimensional complex EACP. Moreover, some (n + 1)-dimensional EACP are described.

Keywords: Evolution algebra; "chicken" population; solvable algebra; nilpotent algebra.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 17D92, 17D99, 60J10

1. Introduction

An algebraic approach in Genetics consists of the study of various types of genetic algebras (like algebras of free, "self-reproduction" and bisexual populations, Bernstein algebras). The formal language of abstract algebra to study of genetics was introduced in [2–4]. In recent years many authors have tried to investigate the difficult problem of classification of these algebras. The most comprehensive references for the mathematical research done in this area are [1, 6–9].

Let A be an arbitrary algebra and let $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots\}$ be a basis of the algebra A. The table of multiplication on A is defined by the products of the basic elements, namely,

$$e_i e_j = \sum_k \gamma_{ij}^k e_k,$$

where γ_{ij}^k are the structural constants. The study of such a general algebra A is difficult, since it is determined by the cubic matrix $\{\gamma_{ij}^k\}$ of structural constants. In some evolution algebras the cubic matrix is reduced to quadratic (see [8]) or rectangular (see [5]) matrices. This simplicity of the matrix allows to obtain deeper results on the algebra.

In this paper, following [5] we consider a set $\{h_i, i = 1, ..., n\}$ (the set of "hen"s) and r (a "rooster").

Let (\mathcal{C}, \cdot) be an algebra over a field K (with characteristic $\neq 2$). If it admits a basis $\{h_1, \ldots, h_n, r\}$ such that

$$h_{i}r = rh_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}h_{j} + b_{i}r \right),$$

$$h_{i}h_{j} = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n; \quad rr = 0,$$
(1.1)

then this algebra is called an *evolution algebra of a "chicken" population* (EACP). The basis $\{h_1, \ldots, h_n, r\}$ is called a natural basis.

We note that an algebra \mathcal{C} is defined by a rectangular $n \times (n+1)$ -matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{pmatrix},$$

which is called the matrix of structural constants of the algebra \mathcal{C} .

For a given element x of an algebra C, define a right multiplication operator $R_x: C \to C$, as $R_x(y) = yx, y \in C$. It should be noted that the matrix M of EACP C coincides with the matrix of right multiplication operator R_r . Therefore, the multiplication of EACP C may be given by the operator R_r .

In [5] it is proved that the algebra C is commutative (and hence flexible), not associative and not necessarily power associative, in general. Moreover it is not unital. A condition is found on the structural constants of the algebra under which the algebra is associative, alternative, power associative, nilpotent, satisfies Jacobi and Jordan identities. The set of all operators of left (right) multiplications is described. Under some conditions on the structural constants it is proved that the corresponding EACP is centroidal. Moreover the classification of two-dimensional and some three-dimensional EACP are obtained.

In this paper, we continue the study of EACP. In Sec. 2 using the Jordan form of the matrix of structural constants we give a simple representation of EACP. Section 3 is devoted to the classification of three-dimensional complex EACP. In Sec. 4 we describe some (n + 1)-dimensional EACP.

2. The Structure of EACP

Recall some notations.

Definition 1. An element x of an algebra \mathcal{A} is called nil if there exists $n(a) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\cdots \underbrace{((x \cdot x) \cdot x) \cdots x}_{n(a)}) = 0$. The algebra \mathcal{A} is called nil if every element of

the algebra is nil.

For $k \geq 1$, we introduce the following sequences:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}^{(1)} &= \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A}^{(k+1)} = \mathcal{A}^{(k)} \mathcal{A}^{(k)}; \\ \mathcal{A}^{\langle 1 \rangle} &= \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A}^{\langle k+1 \rangle} = \mathcal{A}^{\langle k \rangle} \mathcal{A}; \\ \mathcal{A}^{1} &= \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A}^{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{A}^{i} \mathcal{A}^{k-i}. \end{split}$$

Definition 2. An algebra \mathcal{A} is called

- (i) solvable if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{A}^{(n)} = 0$ and the minimal such number is called index of solvability;
- (ii) right nilpotent if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{A}^{\langle n \rangle} = 0$ and the minimal such number is called index of right nilpotency;
- (iii) nilpotent if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{A}^n = 0$ and the minimal such number is called index of nilpotency.

We note that for an EACP notion as nil, nilpotent and right nilpotent algebras are equivalent. However, the indexes of nility, right nilpotency and nilpotency do not coincide in general.

In this section we consider EACP over the field of complex numbers.

Let C be an (n + 1)-dimensional complex EACP and $\{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n, r\}$ be a basis of this algebra. Then the table of multiplications of EACP have the following form

$$h_i r = rh_i = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} h_j + b_i r \right), \quad h_i h_j = rr = 0.$$

Let C and D be EACPs; we say that a linear homomorphism f from C to D is an evolution homomorphism if f is an algebraic map and for a natural basis $\{h_1, \ldots, h_n, r\}$ of C, $\{f(r), f(h_i), i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ spans an evolution subalgebra in D. Furthermore, if an evolution homomorphism is one-to-one and onto, it is an evolution *isomorphism*.

Theorem 1. If $b_i = 0$ for any i = 1, ..., n, then C is a solvable EACP and it is isomorphic to one of the following pairwise non-isomorphic algebras:

$$h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 1} r = \frac{1}{2} (a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 1} + h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 2})$$

$$\begin{split} h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 2} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 2} + h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 3}) \\ &\vdots \\ h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s - 1} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s - 1} + h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s}) \\ h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s}), \end{split}$$

where $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$, $s = 1, \dots, k$ and $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = (1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ or $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = (0, 0, \dots, 0).$

Proof. If $b_i = 0$ for any i = 1, ..., n, then we have the multiplication

$$h_i r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} h_j \right), \quad h_i h_j = rr = 0.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{C}^{(2)} \subseteq \langle h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n \rangle$, which implies $\mathcal{C}^{(3)} = 0$. Hence, \mathcal{C} is solvable.

Since C is a complex EACP, then by theorem on Jordan decomposition we conclude that there exists a basis of C such that the matrix of the operator R_r has Jordan form (that is, diagonal block consist of Jordan blocks). We define $R_r = J_1 \oplus J_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus J_k$, where a_i are diagonal elements of J_i and n_i are sizes of blocks $J_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$. Obviously, $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k = n$.

Then the table of multiplication of the algebra is follows:

$$\begin{split} h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 1} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 1} + h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 2}) \\ h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 2} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 2} + h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 3}) \\ &\vdots \\ h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s - 1} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s - 1} + h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s}) \\ h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s}), \end{split}$$

where $s = 1, \ldots, k$.

If $a_{s_0} \neq 0$, for some $1 \leq s_0 \leq k$, then without loss of generality we can assume that $a_1 \neq 0$.

In this case putting: $a'_s = \frac{a_s}{a_1}, 2 \le s \le k$ and

$$h'_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + j} = \frac{h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + j}}{a_1^j}, \quad 1 \le j \le n_s, \ 1 \le s \le k,$$

one can assume that $a_1 = 1$.

Remark 1. From the above description it is easy to see that for any $s, 1 \le s \le k$

$$\langle h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+1}, h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+2}, \dots, h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+n_s-1}, h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+n_s} \rangle$$

is an ideal of the EACP.

Moreover, if $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k) = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, then EACP is nilpotent.

Suppose that there exists $b_{i_0} \neq 0, i_0 \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then by shifting of the basis elements $h_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ we can assume that $b_1 \neq 0$. Moreover, by the scaling $h'_1 = \frac{1}{b_1}h_1$ we can assume that $b_1 = 1$. So, we have

$$h_1 r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} h_j + r \right), \quad h_i r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} h_j + b_i r \right), \ 2 \le i \le n.$$

In obtained table of multiplication we take the following basis transformation:

$$h'_i = h_i - b_i h_1, \quad 2 \le i \le n.$$

Therefore, we obtain the table of multiplication

$$h_1 r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} h_j + r \right), \quad h_i r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} h_j \right), \ 2 \le i \le n.$$

Thus, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 1. Let C be an EACP. Then there exists a basis $\{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n, r\}$ such that C on this basis is represented by the table of multiplication as follows:

$$h_1 r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} h_j + \delta r \right), \ \delta \in \{0,1\}, \ h_i r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} h_j \right), \ 2 \le i \le n.$$

3. Three-Dimensional Complex EACP

In the following theorem we present the classification of three-dimensional EACP.

Theorem 2. An arbitrary three-dimensional complex EACP C is isomorphic to one of the following pairwise non-isomorphic algebras:

If $\dim C^2 = 1$, then

$$C_{1}: h_{1}r = \frac{1}{2}r;$$

$$C_{2}: h_{1}r = \frac{1}{2}h_{2};$$

$$C_{3}: h_{1}r = \frac{1}{2}h_{1} + \frac{1}{2}r.$$

If dim $C^2 = 2$, then

$$C_4: h_1r = \frac{1}{2}(h_1 + h_2), \quad h_2r = \frac{1}{2}h_2;$$

$$C_{5}(\beta) : h_{1}r = \frac{1}{2}h_{1}, \quad h_{2}r = \frac{\beta}{2}h_{2}, \quad \beta \neq 0;$$

$$C_{6}(\alpha, \beta) : h_{1}r = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha h_{1} + \beta h_{2} + r), \quad h_{2}r = \frac{1}{2}h_{1};$$

$$C_{7}(\alpha) : h_{1}r = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha h_{1} + r), \quad h_{2}r = \frac{1}{2}h_{2};$$

$$C_{8} : h_{1}r = \frac{1}{2}(h_{1} + h_{2} + r), \quad h_{2}r = \frac{1}{2}h_{2},$$

where one of non-zero parameter α, β in the algebra $C_6(\alpha, \beta)$ can be assumed to be equal to 1.

Proof. Since the EACPs with condition $\dim C^2 = 1$ are already classified in [5], we shall consider only algebras such that $\dim C^2 = 2$.

According to Proposition 1 we have that there exists a basis $\{h_1, h_2, r\}$ such that the multiplication of C on this basis has the form:

$$h_1 r = \frac{1}{2}(a_{1,1}h_1 + a_{1,2}h_2 + \delta r), \quad h_2 r = \frac{1}{2}(a_{2,1}h_1 + a_{2,2}h_2).$$

If $\delta = 0$, then using the result of Theorem 1 we obtain the algebras

$$I : h_1 r = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha h_1 + h_2), \quad h_2 r = \frac{1}{2} \alpha h_2.$$
$$II : h_1 r = \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1, \quad h_2 r = \frac{\beta}{2} h_2.$$

Since dim $C^2 = 2$, then $\alpha \beta \neq 0$ and h_1, h_2 are symmetric in the table of multiplication, we can conclude (by scaling of r) that $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta \neq 0$, i.e. we obtain the algebras $C_4, C_5(\beta)$.

Let now $\delta = 1$. Then the table of multiplication of the algebra C has the form

$$h_1 r = \frac{1}{2}(a_{1,1}h_1 + a_{1,2}h_2 + r), \quad h_2 r = \frac{1}{2}(a_{2,1}h_1 + a_{2,2}h_2).$$

Case 1. Let $a_{2,1} \neq 0$. Then putting $r' = \frac{1}{a_{2,1}}r$ and $h'_1 = h_1 + \frac{a_{1,2}}{a_{2,1}}h_2$ we get family of algebras:

$$C_6(\alpha,\beta): h_1r = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha h_1 + \beta h_2 + r), \quad h_2r = \frac{1}{2}h_1.$$

Case 2. Let $a_{2,1} = 0$. Then $a_{2,2} \neq 0$ and by scaling $r' = \frac{1}{a_{2,2}}r$ we obtain the multiplication:

$$h_1 r = \frac{1}{2}(a_{1,1}h_1 + a_{1,2}h_2 + r), \quad h_2 r = \frac{1}{2}h_2.$$

- In the case of $a_{1,2} = 0$, we have the algebra \mathcal{C}_7 .
- If $a_{1,2} \neq 0$, then by $h'_2 = a_{1,2}h_2$ we conclude that $a_{1,2} = 1$, that is, we get family of algebras

$$h_1 r = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha h_1 + h_2 + r), \quad h_2 r = \frac{1}{2}h_2.$$

- In the above table of multiplication when $\alpha \neq 1$ by setting $h'_1 = h_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha 1}h_2$ we get the table of multiplication $C_7(\alpha \neq 1)$.
- In the case of $\alpha = 1$ we get \mathcal{C}_8 .

Thus, we obtain the algebras

$$\mathcal{C}_4, \quad \mathcal{C}_5(\beta), \ \beta \neq 0, \quad \mathcal{C}_6(\alpha, \beta), \quad \mathcal{C}_7(\alpha), \quad \mathcal{C}_8.$$

We shall investigate isomorphisms inside of families $C_6(\alpha, \beta)$ and $C_7(\alpha)$. First consider the algebra

$$C_6(\alpha,\beta): h_1r = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha h_1 + \beta h_2 + r), \quad h_2r = \frac{1}{2}h_1.$$

Let us take the general change of basis elements

$$h'_1 = a_1h_1 + a_2h_2 + a_3r$$
, $h'_2 = b_1h_1 + b_2h_2 + b_3r$, $r' = c_1h_1 + c_2h_2 + c_3r$.

Consider the product

$$0 = h'_1 h'_1 = 2a_1 a_3 h_1 r + 2a_2 a_3 h_2 r = (a_1 a_3 a_{1,1} + a_2 a_3) h_1 + a_1 a_3 a_{1,2} h_2 + a_1 a_3 r.$$

Hence, $a_1a_3 = a_2a_3 = 0$.

Similarly, from $0 = h'_2 h'_2 = r'r' = h'_1 h'_2$ we derive

$$b_1b_3 = b_2b_3 = c_1c_3 = c_2c_3 = 0$$
, $a_2b_3 + a_3b_2 = 0$, $a_1b_3 + a_3b_1 = 0$.

From the chain of equalities

$$\frac{1}{2}(a_1h_1 + a_2h_2 + a_3r) = \frac{1}{2}h'_1 = h'_2r' = (b_1c_3 + b_3c_1)h_1r + (b_2c_3 + b_3c_2)h_2r$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(b_1c_3 + b_3c_1)(\alpha h_1 + \beta h_2 + r) + \frac{1}{2}(b_2c_3 + b_3c_2)h_1,$$

we deduce

 $(b_1c_3 + b_3c_1)\alpha + (b_2c_3 + b_3c_2) = a_1, \quad (b_1c_3 + b_3c_1)\beta = a_2, \quad b_1c_3 + b_3c_1 = a_3.$

Analogously, the equality

$$h_1'r' = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha'h_1' + \beta'h_2' + r')$$

implies

$$(a_1c_3 + a_3c_1)\alpha + (a_2c_3 + a_3c_2) = \alpha'a_1 + \beta'b_1 + c_1,$$
$$(a_1c_3 + a_3c_1)a_{1,2} = \alpha'a_2 + \beta'b_2 + c_2,$$
$$a_1c_3 + a_3c_1 = \alpha'a_3 + \beta'b_3 + c_3.$$

Thus, we obtain the following restrictions:

$$a_1a_3 = a_2a_3 = b_1b_3 = b_2b_3 = c_1c_3 = c_2c_3 = 0, \quad a_2b_3 + a_3b_2 = 0, \quad a_1b_3 + a_3b_1 = 0, \quad (b_1c_3 + b_3c_1)\alpha + (b_2c_3 + b_3c_2) = a_1, \quad (b_1c_3 + b_3c_1)\beta = a_2, \quad b_1c_3 + b_3c_1 = a_3, \quad (b_1c_3 + b_3c_1)\beta = a_2, \quad b_1c_3 + b_3c_1 = a_3, \quad (b_1c_3 + b_3c_1)\beta = a_2, \quad b_1c_3 + b_3c_1 = a_3, \quad (b_1c_3 + b_3c_1)\beta = a_2, \quad b_1c_3 + b_3c_1 = a_3, \quad (b_1c_3 + b_3c_1)\beta = a_3, \quad$$

$$(a_1c_3 + a_3c_1)\alpha + (a_2c_3 + a_3c_2) = \alpha'a_1 + \beta'b_1 + c_1,$$
$$(a_1c_3 + a_3c_1)a_{1,2} = \alpha'a_2 + \beta'b_2 + c_2,$$
$$a_1c_3 + a_3c_1 = \alpha'a_3 + \beta'b_3 + c_3.$$

It is not difficult to check that $a_3 = b_3 = 0$. Therefore, $c_3 \neq 0$ (otherwise the chosen basis transformation is non-singular) and the restriction will have the following form:

$$a_1 = b_2 c_3 = 1, \quad a_2 = b_1 = c_1 = c_2 = 0,$$

 $\alpha' = c_3 \alpha, \quad \beta' = c_3^2 \beta.$

By choosing appropriate value of c_3 we can assert that one of non-zero parameter α', β' can be scaled to 1.

In the case of the family of algebras $C_7(\alpha)$ taking general change of basis and considering products in new basis, we obtain restrictions:

$$a_1a_3 = a_2a_3 = b_1b_3 = b_2b_3 = c_1c_3 = c_2c_3 = 0, \quad a_2b_3 + a_3b_2 = 0,$$

$$a_1b_3 + a_3b_1 = 0, \quad b_1 = (b_1c_3 + b_3c_1)\alpha, \quad b_2 = (b_2c_3 + b_3c_2),$$

$$b_3 = b_1c_3 + b_3c_1, \quad (a_1c_3 + a_3c_1)\alpha = \alpha'a_1 + c_1,$$

$$a_2c_3 + a_3c_2 = \alpha'a_2 + c_2, \quad a_1c_3 + a_3c_1 = \alpha'a_3 + c_3.$$

Simple study of the restrictions lead to

$$a_1 = c_3 = 1$$
, $a_3 = b_1 = b_3 = c_1 = c_2 = 0$, $b_2 \neq 0$, $\alpha = \alpha'$.

Therefore, for different values of parameter α in the family $C_7(\alpha)$ we get nonisomorphic algebras.

Applying argumentations similar as above we derive that there is no algebra of the family $C_7(\alpha)$ which is isomorphic to the algebra C_8 .

Since any algebra of the family $C_6(\alpha, \beta)$ has not one-dimensional non-abelian ideal and $\langle h_2 \rangle$ is non-abelian ideal in algebras $C_7(\alpha), C_8$, we conclude that there is no algebra of the family $C_6(\alpha, \beta)$ which is isomorphic to $C_7(\alpha)$ and C_8 .

Using the explicit form of algebras C_i , i = 1, ..., 8 mentioned in Theorem 2 one can easily check the following proposition.

Proposition 2.

- The algebras C_i , i = 1, 4, 5, are solvable.
- The algebra C_2 is nilpotent.
- The algebras C_i , i = 3, 6, 7, 8, are non-solvable.

4. The Description of Complex EACP

In this section we give the description of some (n + 1)-dimensional EACP.

According to Proposition 1, we obtain that any (n + 1)-dimensional EACP admits a basis $\{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n, r\}$ such that the table of multiplication of this basis has the form

$$h_1 r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} h_j + \delta r \right), \ \delta \in \{0,1\}, \ h_i r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} h_j \right), \ 2 \le i \le n.$$

Since the result of Theorem 1 gives a description in the case of $\delta = 0$, we shall consider only the case $\delta = 1$.

Let us introduce denotations

$$V_2 = \langle h_2, \dots, h_n \rangle, \quad R_{\overline{r}} = R_r |_{V_2}.$$

Then we have

$$h_i \overline{r} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} h_j \right), \quad 2 \le i \le n.$$

$$(4.1)$$

Considering the equality (4.1) by modulo space $\langle h_1 \rangle$, we obtain

$$h_i \overline{r} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=2}^n a_{i,j} h_j \right), \quad 2 \le i \le n \mod(\langle h_1 \rangle).$$

$$(4.2)$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain the following products:

$$\begin{split} h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+2} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+2} + h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+3}) \\ h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+3} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+3} + h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+4}) \\ &\vdots \\ h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+n_s-1} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+n_s-1} + h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+n_s}) \\ h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+n_s} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i+n_s}), \end{split}$$

where $s = 1, \ldots, k, n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k = n - 1$ and $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k) = (1, a_2, \ldots, a_k)$ or $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k) = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

Now go up to the space V_1 , we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3. There exists a basis $\{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n, r\}$ of EACP, such that the table of multiplication of this basis has the following form:

$$h_1 r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} h_j + r \right)$$
$$h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 2} r = \frac{1}{2} \left(a_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 2, 1} h_1 + a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 2} + h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 3} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 3} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 3, 1} h_1 + a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 3} + h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + 4}) \\ &\vdots \\ h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s - 1} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s - 1, 1} h_1 + a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s - 1} + h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s}) \\ h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s} r &= \frac{1}{2} (a_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s, 1} h_1 + a_s h_{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i + n_s}), \\ re \ s = 1, \dots, k \ and \ a_1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

wher \cdots

4.1. The case of k = 1

Now we shall investigate the case of k = 1. Thanks to Theorem 3 we obtain the multiplication:

$$\begin{cases} h_1 r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} h_j + r \right), \\ h_i r = \frac{1}{2} (a_{i,1} h_1 + \alpha h_i + h_{i+1}), \quad 2 \le i \le n-1, \\ h_n r = \frac{1}{2} (a_{n,1} h + \alpha h_n), \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in \{0; 1\}$.

Assume there exists some $m, 2 \leq m \leq n$ such that $a_{1,m} \neq 0$ and $a_{1,m}$ is the first non-zero parameter. Putting

$$h'_{i} = \sum_{j=m}^{n} a_{1,j} h_{j-m+i}, \quad 2 \le i \le n,$$

we can assume that the table of multiplication has the form

$$\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(a_i, m_1) : \begin{cases} h_1 r = a_1 h_1 + \alpha h_{m_1} + r, & 2 \le m_1 \le n, \\ h_i r = a_i h_1 + \alpha h_i + h_{i+1}, & 2 \le i \le n-1, \\ h_n r = a_n h_1 + h_n, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in \{0; 1\}$.

Consider two algebras $C^1(a_i, m_1)$ and $C^1(b_i, m_2)$, with basis $\{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n, r\}$ and $\{h'_1, h'_2, \ldots, h'_n, r'\}$, respectively. If $m_1 \neq m_2$, then without loss of generality we can suppose $m_1 < m_2$.

Theorem 4. Two algebras $C^1(a_i, m_1)$ and $C^1(b_i, m_2)$ with $m_1 < m_2$ are isomorphic if and only if

- (1) $a_i = b_i = 0, \ m_1 \le i \le n;$
- (2) $a_1 = b_1$ and $b_1 \neq 1$, and $b_1 \neq a_{m_1-1} + 1$ in the case of $b_{m_1-1} \neq 0$; $b_1 \neq 1$, or $a_{m_1-2} \neq -1$ in the case of $b_{m_1-1} = 0$, $b_{m_1-2} \neq 0$;

(3) there exist $B_2, B_3, \ldots, B_{m_1-1} \in \mathbb{C}$, with $B_2 \neq 0$, such that

$$b_i = \sum_{k=i}^{m_1-1} B_{k-i+2} a_k, \quad 2 \le i \le m_1 - 1.$$

Proof. Necessary. Let f be an isomorphism $f : \mathcal{C}^1(b_i, m_2) \to \mathcal{C}^1(a_i, m_1)$. Then

$$f(h'_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j}h_j + C_i r, \ 1 \le i \le n, \quad f(r') = \sum_{j=1}^n D_j h_j + C_{n+1} r.$$

Note that, without loss of generality we can assume $C_{n+1} \neq 0$. From the following chain of equalities

$$0 = f(h'_{i})f(h'_{i}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{i,j}h_{j} + C_{i}r\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{i,j}h_{j} + C_{i}r\right) = 2C_{i}\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{i,j}h_{j}r$$
$$= C_{i}\left[A_{i,2}h_{2} + A_{i,1}h_{m_{1}} + A_{i,1}r + \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{i,j}a_{j}h_{1} + \sum_{j=3}^{n} (A_{i,j-1} + A_{i,j})h_{j}\right],$$
$$0 = f(r')f(r') = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j}h_{j} + D_{n+1}r\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j}h_{j} + C_{n+1}r\right) = 2C_{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j}h_{j}r$$
$$= C_{n+1}\left[D_{2}h_{2} + D_{1}h_{m_{1}} + D_{1}r + \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j}a_{j}h_{1} + \sum_{j=3}^{n} (D_{j-1} + D_{j})h_{j}\right],$$

we obtain the restrictions:

$$C_i \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j} a_j = 0, \quad C_i A_{i,k} = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \quad 1 \le k \le n,$$
$$C_{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^n D_j a_j = 0, \quad C_{n+1} D_k = 0, \quad 1 \le k \le n.$$

Since $C_{n+1} \neq 0$, we obtain $D_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, it is not difficult to obtain that $C_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Indeed, if there exists $C_{i_0} \neq 0$, for $2 \leq i_0 \leq n$, then it implies that $A_{i_0,j} = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. It is a contradiction with the condition of the matrix of the general change is not singular, since $D_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Thus, we have

$$f(h'_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j}h_j, \ 1 \le i \le n, \ f(r') = r.$$

Consider the multiplication

$$f(h'_n)f(r') = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{n,j}h_jr = A_{n,2}h_2 + A_{n,1}h_{m_1} + A_{n,1}r + \sum_{j=1}^n A_{n,j}a_jh_1 + \sum_{j=3}^n (A_{n,j-2} + A_{n,j})h_j.$$

On the other hand,

$$f(h'_n)f(r') = b_n f(h'_1) + f(h'_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n (b_n A_{1,j} + A_{n,j})h_j.$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements we obtain

$$\begin{cases}
A_{n,1} = 0, & \sum_{j=2}^{n} A_{n,j} a_j = b_n A_{1,1}, \\
0 = b_n A_{1,2}, \\
A_{n,k} = b_{n,1} A_{1,k+1}, & 2 \le k \le n-1.
\end{cases}$$
(4.3)

Analogously, considering products

$$f(h'_i)f(r') = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j}h_jr = A_{i,2}h_2 + A_{i,1}h_{m_1} + A_{i,1}r + \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j}a_jh_1$$
$$+ \sum_{j=3}^n (A_{i,j-1} + A_{i,j})h_j$$
$$= b_i f(h'_1) + f(h'_i) + f(h'_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (b_i A_{1,j} + A_{i,j} + A_{i+1,j})h_j,$$

for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, we get

$$\begin{cases}
A_{i,1} = 0, \\
\sum_{j=2}^{n} A_{i,j}a_j = b_i A_{1,1}, \\
b_i A_{1,2} + A_{i+1,2} = 0, \\
A_{i,k} = b_i A_{1,k+1} + A_{i+1,k+1}, \quad 2 \le k \le n-1.
\end{cases}$$
(4.4)

Consider the product

$$f(h'_1)f(r') = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{1,j}h_jr = A_{1,2}h_2 + A_{1,1}h_{m_1} + A_{1,1}r + \sum_{j=1}^n A_{1,j}a_jh_1 + \sum_{j=3}^n (A_{1,j-1} + A_{1,j})h_j$$

$$= b_1 f(h'_1) + f(h'_{m_2}) + f(r') = r + \sum_{j=1}^n (b_1 A_{1,j} + A_{m_2,j}) h_j.$$

From this we derive

$$\begin{cases}
A_{1,1} = 1, & \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{1,j} a_j = b_1, \\
A_{1,2} = b_1 A_{1,2} + A_{m_2,2}, & (4.5) \\
A_{1,k} + A_{1,k+1} = b_1 A_{1,k+1} + A_{m_2,k+1}, & 2 \le k \le n-1, \ k \ne m_1 - 1, \\
1 + A_{1,m_1-1} + A_{1,m_1} = b_1 A_{1,m_1} + A_{m_2,m_1}.
\end{cases}$$

From $b_n A_{1,2} = 0$, we obtain $b_n = 0$. Indeed, if $b_n \neq 0$ then $A_{1,2} = 0$ and according to (4.4) we get $A_{i,2} = 0$ for $3 \le i \le n$. From $A_{n,2} = b_n A_{1,3}$ and by (4.4) we get

$$A_{1,3} = 0, \ A_{2,2} = A_{3,3}, \ A_{i,3} = 0, \ 4 \le i \le n.$$

Recurrently, we obtain

$$A_{1,k} = 0, \ A_{k,k} = A_{2,2}, \ A_{i,k} = 0, \ 2 \le k \le m_1, \ k+1 \le i \le n.$$

But since $m_1 < m_2$, from $1 + A_{1,m_1-1} + A_{1,m_1} = b_1 A_{1,m_1} + A_{m_2,m_1}$ we get incorrect equality 1 = 0. It is a contradiction with assumption $b_n \neq 0$.

Thus, $b_n = 0$. From this we have $A_{n,i} = 0, 2 \le i \le n-1$ and $a_n = 0$. Continuing this process we obtain the condition (1), i.e.

$$b_i = a_i = 0, \quad m_1 \le i \le n$$

• If $b_{m_1-1,1} \neq 0$, then it is not difficult to obtain that

$$A_{1,j} = 0, \quad 2 \le j \le m_1 - 1, A_{i,j} = 0, \quad 3 \le i \le m_1 - 1, \quad 2 \le j \le i - 1.$$
(4.6)

Indeed, from $b_{m_1-1,1}A_{1,2} = 0$ we have $A_{1,2} = 0$, which implies $A_{i,2} = 0$ for $3 \leq i \leq m_1 - 1$. So, the equality (4.6) is true for j = 2. Recurrently we obtain the equality (4.6) for any j $(2 \le j \le m_1 - 1)$. Thus, we obtain $a_1 = b_1$, $\sum_{k=i}^{m_1-1} A_{i,k} a_k = b_i$, $2 \le i \le m_1 - 1$, and

$$\begin{cases} 1 + A_{1,m_1} = b_1 A_{1,m_1}, & m_1 \le k \le m_2 - 2, \\ A_{1,k} + A_{1,k+1} = b_1 A_{1,k+1}, & m_1 \le k \le m_2 - 2, \\ A_{1,k} + A_{1,k+1} & = b_1 A_{1,k+1} + A_{m_1,m_1 - m_2 + k + 1}, & m_2 - 1 \le k \le n - 1, \\ A_{i,k} = A_{i+1,k+1}, & 2 \le i \le m_1 - 2, & i \le k \le m_1 - 2, \\ A_{i,k} = b_i A_{1,k+1} + A_{i+1,k+1}, & 2 \le i \le m_1 - 1, & m_1 - 1 \le k \le n - 1, \\ A_{i,k} = A_{i+1,k+1}, & m_1 \le i \le n - 1, & i \le k \le n - 1. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.7)$$

Taking into account the equality $1 + A_{1,m_1} = b_1 A_{1,m_1}$, we have $b_1 \neq 1$, and $A_{1,m_1} = \frac{1}{b_1-1}$. From the fifth equality of (4.7) for $k = m_1 - 1$, we have

$$A_{m_1,m_1} = A_{m_1-1,m_1-1} - b_{m_1-1}A_{1,m_1} = b_{m_1-1}\left(\frac{1}{a_{m_1-1}} - \frac{1}{b_1-1}\right)$$

Since $A_{m_1,m_1} \neq 0$, we obtain $b_1 \neq a_{m_1-1}+1$, i.e. the condition (2) is satisfied. Taking into account, the fourth equation of the system (4.7), putting $B_k = A_{2,k}$, $2 \leq k \leq m_1 - 1$ we obtain the condition (3).

• In the case of $b_{m_1-1} = 0$, using the similar argument for the first non-zero element b_t from the set $\{b_{m_1-2}, \ldots, b_2\}$ we obtain the equality $a_1 = b_1$, $\sum_{k=i}^t A_{i,k}a_k = b_i, 2 \le i \le t$, and

$$\begin{cases}
A_{1,t+1} = b_1 A_{1,t+1}, & t+1 \le k \le m_1 - 2, \\
1 + A_{1,m_1-1} + A_{1,m_1} = b_1 A_{1,m_1}, \\
A_{1,k} + A_{1,k+1} = b_1 A_{1,k+1}, & m_1 \le k \le m_2 - 2, \\
A_{1,k} + A_{1,k+1} = b_1 A_{1,k+1}, & m_2 - 1 \le k \le m - 1, \\
a_{i,k} = A_{i+1,k+1}, & 2 \le i \le t - 1, & i \le k \le t - 1, \\
A_{i,k} = b_i A_{1,k+1} + A_{i+1,k+1}, & 2 \le i \le t, & t \le k \le n - 1, \\
A_{i,k} = A_{i+1,k+1}, & t+1 \le i \le n - 1, & i \le k \le n - 1.
\end{cases}$$
(4.8)

If $t = m_1 - 2$, then in the case of $b_1 = 1$ and $a_{m_1-2} = -1$, we obtain $A_{m_1-1,m_1-1} = b_{m_1-2}(\frac{1}{a_{m_1-2}}+1) = 0$, which is a contradiction with the existence of isomorphism f. Therefore, if $t = m_1 - 2$, then $b_1 \neq 1$ or $a_{m_1-2} \neq -1$, i.e. the condition (2) is satisfied.

Putting $B_k = A_{2,k}$, $2 \le k \le m_1 - 1$ we obtain the condition (3).

Sufficient. Let the conditions (1), (2) and (3) are satisfied. From the previous proof it follows that the existence of an isomorphism $f : \mathcal{C}^1(b_i, m_2) \to \mathcal{C}^1(a_i, m_1)$ is equivalent to the solvability of (4.7) ((4.8) if $b_{m_1-1} = 0$).

In the case of $b_{m_1-1} \neq 0$, and $b_1 \neq 1$, $b_1 \neq a_{m_1-1} + 1$ we find a solution of (4.7) as follows:

$$A_{i,k} = B_{k-i+2}, \quad 2 \le i \le m_1 - 1, \quad i \le k \le m_1 - 1,$$

$$A_{1,k} = \frac{1}{(b_1 - 1)^{k-m_1 + 1}}, \quad m_1 \le k \le m_2 - 1,$$

$$A_{i,i} = b_{m_1 - 1} \left(\frac{1}{a_{m_1 - 1}} - \frac{1}{b_1 - 1}\right), \quad m_1 \le i \le n.$$

Then from (4.7) we obtain other parameters $A_{i,k}$. The case $b_{m_1-1} = 0$ is similar to the case $b_{m_1-1} \neq 0$.

Corollary 1. $C^1(0,m) \cong C^1(0,2)$ for any $m(3 \le m \le n)$. And as an isomorphism we can take

$$f(h'_1) = h_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^k h_k + \sum_{k=m}^m ((-1)^{k-1} + (-1)^{k-m}) h_k,$$

$$f(h'_i) = h_i, \ 2 \le i \le n, \quad f(r') = r.$$

Analogously, we obtain the following theorem for the class of algebras $\mathcal{C}^0(a_i, m)$.

Theorem 5. Two algebras $C^0(a_i, m_1)$ and $C^0(b_i, m_2)$ with $m_1 < m_2$ are isomorphic if and only if

- (1) $a_i = b_i = 0, \ m_1 \le i \le n;$
- (2) $a_1 = b_1$ and $b_1 \neq 0$, and $b_1 \neq a_{m_1-1}$ in the case of $b_{m_1-1} \neq 0$; $b_1 \neq 0$, or $a_{m_1-2} \neq -1$ in the case of $b_{m_1-1} = 0$, $b_{m_1-2} \neq 0$;
- (3) there exist $B_2, B_3, \ldots, B_{m_1-1} \in \mathbb{C}$, with $B_2 \neq 0$, such that

$$b_i = \sum_{k=i}^{m_1-1} B_{k-i+2} a_k, \quad 2 \le i \le m_1 - 1.$$

Now we investigate the criteria of isomorphism inside the class of $\mathcal{C}^1(a_i, m)$.

According to Theorem 4, if $a_i = 0$ for $m-1 \le i \le n$, then there exists an algebra $\mathcal{C}^1(c_i, m-1)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}^1(a_i, m)$, we consider the case of $a_i \ne 0$ for some $i(m-1 \le i \le n)$.

For this purpose consider two algebras $C^1(a_i, m)$ and $C^1(b_i, m)$, i.e. case of $m_1 = m_2$.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4, we consider the isomorphism $f : \mathcal{C}^1(b_i, m) \to \mathcal{C}^1(a_i, m)$ as follows:

$$f(h'_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j}h_j, \ 1 \le i \le n, \ f(r') = r.$$

We obtain $A_{1,1} = 1$, $A_{i,1} = 0$, for $2 \le i \le n$ and the following restrictions:

$$\begin{cases} b_{1} = a_{1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} A_{1,j}a_{j}, \\ b_{i} = \sum_{j=2}^{n} A_{i,j}a_{j}, \\ b_{n}A_{1,2} = 0, \ b_{i}A_{1,2} + A_{i+1,2} = 0, \\ A_{i,k} = b_{i}A_{1,k+1} + A_{i+1,k+1}, \\ A_{n,k} = b_{n}A_{1,k+1}, \\ A_{1,2} = b_{1}A_{1,2} + A_{m,2}, \\ A_{1,k} + A_{1,k+1} = b_{1}A_{1,k+1} + A_{m,k+1}, \\ 1 + A_{1,m-1} + A_{1,m} = b_{1}A_{1,m} + A_{m,m}. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.9)$$

From (4.9) it is not difficult to obtain the following recurrent formula:

$$A_{i+1,k+1} = A_{2,k-i+2} - \sum_{j=2}^{i} b_i A_{1,k-i+1+j}.$$
(4.10)

Let p be the first non-zero element from the set $\{b_n, b_{n-1}, \ldots, b_{m-1}\}$.

In the case of p = m - 1, i.e. $b_n = b_{n-1} = \cdots = b_m = 0$, $b_{m-1} \neq 0$, from (4.9) we obtain $a_n = a_{n-1} = \cdots = a_m = 0$, and

$$\begin{cases} A_{1,i} = 0, & 2 \le i \le m - 1, \\ A_{i,i} = A_{2,2}, & 3 \le i \le m - 1, \\ A_{i,i} = A_{2,2} - b_{m-1}A_{1,m}, & m \le i \le n, \\ b_1 = a_1, b_i = \sum_{j=2}^{m+1-i} A_{2,j}a_{j-2+i}, & 2 \le i \le m - 1, \\ A_{2,2} = 1 + (1 - b_1 + b_{m-1,1})A_{1,m}, & \\ A_{2,3} = (1 - b_1 + b_{m-1})A_{1,m+1} + (1 + b_{m-2})A_{1,m}, & \\ A_{2,i} = (1 - b_1 + b_{m-1})A_{1,m-2+i} + (1 + b_{m-2})A_{1,m-3+i} & \\ + \sum_{j=4}^{i} b_{m+1-j}A_{1,m+i-j}, & 4 \le i \le m - 1, \\ A_{2,i} = (1 - b_1 + b_{m-1})A_{1,m-2+i} + (1 + b_{m-2})A_{1,m-3+i} & \\ + \sum_{j=4}^{m-1} b_{m+1-j}A_{1,m+i-j}, & m \le i \le n - m + 2, \\ (4.11) \end{cases}$$

From this we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6. Any algebra $C^1(a_i, m)$ with $a_{m-1} \neq 0$, $a_m = a_{m+1} = \cdots = a_n = 0$ is isomorphic to one of the following non-isomorphic algebras:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}_{1}^{1}(a_{i},m) &: \begin{cases} a_{1} = a, \\ a_{m-2} = -1, \\ a_{m-1} = a - 1, \\ a_{j} = 0 & otherwise, \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{C}_{2}^{1}(a_{i},m) &: \begin{cases} a_{1} = a, \\ a_{m-1} = a - 1, \\ a_{j} = 0 & otherwise, \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{C}_{3}^{1}(a_{i},m) &: \begin{cases} a_{1} \neq 2, \\ a_{m-1} = 1, \\ a_{j} = 0 & otherwise. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Proof. From (4.11) it is not difficult to see that if $b_{m-1} = b_1 - 1$ and $b_{m-2} = -1$, then

$$\begin{cases}
A_{2,2} = 1, \\
A_{2,3} = 0, \\
A_{2,i} = \sum_{j=4}^{i} b_{m+1-j} A_{1,m+i-j}, \quad 4 \le i \le m-1, \\
A_{2,i} = \sum_{j=4}^{m-1} b_{m+1-j} A_{1,m+i-j}, \quad m \le i \le n-m+2,
\end{cases}$$
(4.12)

which implies $a_{m-1} = b_{m-1} = b_1 - 1$, $a_{m-2} = -1$, and $b_i = a_i + \sum_{j=4}^{m+1-i} A_{2,j} a_{j-2+i}$, for $2 \le i \le m-3$.

Putting

$$A_{2,4} = -\frac{a_{m-3}}{b_{m-1}}, \quad A_{2,5} = -\frac{1}{b_{m-1}}(a_{m-4} - a_{m-1}A_{2,4}),$$

$$A_{2,i} = -\frac{1}{b_{m-1}} \left(a_{m+1-i} - \sum_{j=4}^{i-1} a_{m-1-i+j} A_{2,j} \right), \quad 5 \le i \le m-1,$$

we can suppose $b_2 = b_3 = \cdots = b_{m-3} = 0$. Other parameters of the isomorphism f can be found by (4.12) and (4.10). Thus, for any parameters $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{m-1}$ with conditions $a_{m-1} = b_{m-1} = b_1 - 1$, $a_{m-2} = -1$, there exists an isomorphism f from the algebra $\mathcal{C}^1(a_i, m)$ to the algebra \mathcal{C}^1_1 .

If $b_{m-1} = b_1 - 1$ and $b_{m-2} \neq -1$, then we have $A_{2,2} = 1$ and $a_{m-1} = b_{m-1} = b_1 - 1$.

Putting

$$A_{2,3} = -\frac{a_{m-2}}{b_{m-1}}, \quad A_{2,4} = -\frac{a_{m-3}}{b_{m-1}}, \quad A_{2,5} = -\frac{1}{b_{m-1}}(a_{m-4} - a_{m-1}A_{2,4}),$$
$$A_{2,i} = -\frac{1}{b_{m-1}}\left(a_{m+1-i} - \sum_{j=3}^{i-1} a_{m-1-i+j}A_{2,j}\right), \quad 4 \le i \le m-1,$$

we can suppose $b_2 = b_3 = \cdots = b_{m-2} = 0$. Other parameters of the isomorphism f can be found by (4.12) and (4.11). Thus, for any parameters $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{m-1}$ with conditions $a_{m-1} = a_1 - 1$ there exists an isomorphism f from the algebra $\mathcal{C}_1^1(a_i, m)$ to \mathcal{C}_2^1 .

Analogously, in the case of $b_{m-1} \neq b_1 - 1$ and $b_{m-2} \neq -1$, putting $A_{2,j}$ we can suppose $b_2 = b_3 = \cdots = b_{m-2} = 0$ and $b_{m-1} = 1$, which derive the algebra L_3^1 .

Now consider the case $p \ge m$, i.e. $b_n = b_{n-1} = \cdots = b_{p+1} = 0$, $b_p \ne 0$, then from (4.9) we obtain $a_n = a_{n-1} = \cdots = a_{p+1} = 0$, and

$$\begin{cases} A_{1,i} = 0, & 2 \le i \le p, \\ A_{i,i} = 1, & 2 \le i \le p, \\ A_{i,i} = 1 - b_p A_{1,p+1}, & p+1 \le i \le n, \\ b_1 = a_1, \ b_i = a_i + \sum_{j=p+3-m}^{p+2-i} A_{2,j} a_{j-2+i}, & 2 \le i \le m-1, \\ A_{2,p-m+3} = (1 - b_1 + b_{m-1})A_{1,p+1}, & 2 \le i \le m-1, \\ A_{2,p-m+4} = (1 - b_1 + b_{m-1})A_{1,p+2} + (1 + b_{m-2})A_{1,p+1}, & A_{2,i} = (1 - b_1 + b_{m-1})A_{1,p-1+i} + (1 + b_{m-2})A_{1,p-2+i} \\ &+ \sum_{j=4}^{i} b_{m+1-j}A_{1,p+1+i-j}, & 4 \le i \le m-1, \\ A_{2,i} = (1 - b_1 + b_{m-1})A_{1,p-1+i} + (1 + b_{m-2})A_{1,p-2+i} \\ &+ \sum_{j=4}^{m-1} b_{m+1-j}A_{1,p+1+i-j}, & m \le i \le n-m+2. \end{cases}$$

Similar to Theorem 6, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 7. Any algebra $C^1(a_i, m)$ with $a_p \neq 0$, $a_{p+1} = a_{p+2} = \cdots = a_n = 0$, $p \geq n$ is isomorphic to one of the following pairwise non-isomorphic algebras:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}_{1}^{1}(a_{i},m,p) &: \begin{cases} a_{1} = a, \\ a_{m-2} = -1, \\ a_{m-1} = a - 1, \\ a_{j} \in \mathbb{C}, & m \leq j \leq p, \\ a_{j} = 0 & otherwise, \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{C}_{2}^{1}(a_{i},m,p) &: \begin{cases} a_{1} = a, \\ a_{m-1} = a - 1, \\ a_{j} \in \mathbb{C}, & m \leq j \leq p, \\ a_{j} = 0 & otherwise, \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{C}_{3}^{1}(a_{i},m,p) &: \begin{cases} a_{1} \neq 2, \\ a_{m-1} = 1, \\ a_{j} \in \mathbb{C}, & m \leq j \leq p, \\ a_{j} = 0 & otherwise. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Acknowledgments

The work is supported by the Grant No. 0251/GF3 of Education and Science Ministry of Republic of Kazakhstan.

References

- J. M. Casas, M. Ladra, B. A. Omirov and U. A. Rozikov, On evolution algebras, Algebra Collog. 21(2) (2014) 331–342.
- [2] I. M. H. Etherington, Genetic algebras, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 59 (1939) 242–258.
- [3] I. M. H. Etherington, Duplication of linear algebras, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)
 6 (1941) 222–230.
- [4] I. M. H. Etherington, Non-associative algebra and the symbolism of genetics, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 61 (1941) 24–42.
- [5] A. Labra, M. Ladra and U. A. Rozikov, An evolution algebra in population genetics, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 457 (2014) 348–362.
- [6] Y. I. Lyubich, Mathematical Structures in Population Genetics (Springer, Berlin, 1992).
- M. L. Reed, Algebraic structure of genetic inheritance, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 34(2) (1997) 107–130.
- [8] J. P. Tian, Evolution Algebras and Their Applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1921 (Springer, Berlin, 2008).
- [9] A. Wörz-Busekros, Algebras in Genetics, Lecture Notes in Biomathematics, Vol. 36 (Springer, Berlin, 1980).