

## EXCEPTIONAL 0-ALIA ALGEBRAS

A. S. Dzhumadil'daev and K. M. Tulenbaev

UDC 512.55

ABSTRACT. An algebra  $(A, \circ)$  with the identity  $[a, b] \circ c + [b, c] \circ a + [c, a] \circ b = 0$ , where  $[a, b] = a \circ b - b \circ a$ , is called 0-Alia. We prove that the algebra  $(\mathbb{C}[x], \circ)$  with multiplication  $a \circ b = \partial^2(2a\partial(b) + \partial(a)b)$  is a simple, exceptional 0-Alia algebra.

Let  $(A, \circ)$  be an algebra with multiplication  $\circ$ . It is 0-Alia (see [1]) if

$$[a, b] \circ c + [b, c] \circ a + [c, a] \circ b = 0$$

for any  $a, b, c \in A$ . Here  $[a, b] = a \circ b - b \circ a$ .

Let  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  be the polynomial algebra with multiplication  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ . We equip  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  with a new multiplication  $(a, b) \mapsto a \circ b$  defined by

$$a \circ b = \partial^3(a)b + 4\partial^2(a)\partial(b) + 5\partial(a)\partial^2(b) + 2a\partial^3(b)$$

or

$$a \circ b = \partial^2(2a\partial(b) + \partial(a)b).$$

As was shown in [1], the algebra  $(\mathbb{C}[x], \circ)$  is simple and 0-Alia.

Let  $(U, \cdot)$  be an associative, commutative algebra with multiplication  $U \times U \rightarrow U$ ,  $(u, v) \mapsto u \cdot v$ , and  $f, g : U \rightarrow U$  be linear maps. An algebra  $\mathcal{A}(U, \cdot, f, g)$  is defined on the vector space  $U$  by the multiplication

$$(a, b) \mapsto a \cdot f(b) + g(a \cdot b).$$

In [1] it was proved that any algebra of the form  $\mathcal{A}(U, \cdot, f, g)$  is 0-Alia. A 0-Alia algebra  $A$  is called *special* if it can be obtained as a subalgebra of 0-Alia algebra of a form  $\mathcal{A}(U, \cdot, f, g)$  for some associative and commutative algebra  $(U, \cdot)$  and its endomorphisms  $f$  and  $g$ . Otherwise, we say that a 0-Alia algebra  $A$  is *exceptional*.

The aim of our paper is to prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *The 0-Alia algebra  $(\mathbb{C}[x], \circ)$  is exceptional.*

We must prove that the algebra  $(\mathbb{C}[x], \circ)$  is not isomorphic to any subalgebra of the algebra of the form  $\mathcal{A}(U, \cdot, f, g)$ , where  $U \supseteq \mathbb{C}[x]$  and  $\cdot$  is an associative and commutative multiplication on  $U$  and  $f, g : U \rightarrow U$  are linear maps.

Assume that Theorem 1 is not true and such an algebra  $\mathcal{A}(U, \cdot, f, g)$  exists. Then

$$\partial^2(2a\partial(b) + \partial(a)b) = a \cdot f(b) + g(a \cdot b) \tag{1}$$

for any  $a, b \in U$ . We can assume that  $U$  contains  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  as a subspace, in particular, it contains elements  $1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots$

**Lemma 2.** *For any  $a \in \mathbb{C}[x] \subseteq U$ ,*

$$2\partial^3(a) = 1 \cdot f(a) + g(1 \cdot a).$$

*Proof.* Substituting  $a = 1$  in (1), we have

$$2\partial^3(b) = 1 \cdot f(b) + g(1 \cdot b).$$

Changing in this relation  $b$  to  $a$ , we obtain the result. □

---

Translated from *Sovremennaya Matematika i Ee Prilozheniya (Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications)*, Vol. 60, Algebra, 2008.

**Lemma 3.** For any  $a \in \mathbb{C}[x] \subseteq U$ ,

$$\partial^3(a) = a \cdot f(1) + g(a \cdot 1).$$

*Proof.* Substitute  $b = 1$  in (1). □

**Lemma 4.** For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[x] \subseteq U$ ,

$$\partial^2(a\partial(b) - \partial(a)b) \cdot c = \partial^2(2(a \cdot c)\partial(b) + \partial(a \cdot c)b - 2(b \cdot c)\partial(a) - \partial(b \cdot c)a).$$

*Proof.* Multiply both sides of (1) by  $c$  (multiplication  $\cdot$ ):

$$\partial^2(2a\partial(b) + \partial(a)b) \cdot c = (a \cdot f(b)) \cdot c + g(a \cdot b) \cdot c. \quad (2)$$

Put in (2)  $a := a \cdot c$ . We have

$$\partial^2(2(a \cdot c)\partial(b) + \partial(a \cdot c)b) = (a \cdot c) \cdot f(b) + g((a \cdot c) \cdot b). \quad (3)$$

Subtract (3) from (2). We obtain

$$g(a \cdot b) \cdot c - g(a \cdot c \cdot b) = \partial^2(2a\partial(b) + \partial(a)b) \cdot c - \partial^2(2(a \cdot c)\partial(b) + \partial(a \cdot c)b). \quad (4)$$

In (4), change  $a$  to  $b$  and  $b$  to  $a$ . We have

$$g(a \cdot b) \cdot c - g(a \cdot c \cdot b) = \partial^2(2b\partial(a) + \partial(b)a) \cdot c - \partial^2(2(b \cdot c)\partial(a) + \partial(b \cdot c)a). \quad (5)$$

Subtract from (4) the relation (5). We obtain the required relation. □

**Lemma 5.**  $1 \cdot 1 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 4 for  $b = 1$ . We obtain

$$-\partial^3(a) \cdot c = \partial^2(\partial(a \cdot c) - 2(1 \cdot c)\partial(a) - \partial(1 \cdot c)a). \quad (6)$$

Change  $c$  in Lemma 4 to  $b$  and obtain

$$-\partial^3(a) \cdot b = \partial^2(\partial(a \cdot b) - 2(1 \cdot b)\partial(a) - \partial(1 \cdot b)a). \quad (7)$$

Change in (7)  $a$  to  $b$  and  $b$  to  $a$ :

$$-\partial^3(b) \cdot a = \partial^2(\partial(b \cdot a) - 2(1 \cdot a)\partial(b) - \partial(1 \cdot a)b). \quad (8)$$

Subtract (8) from (7). The commutativity property of the multiplication  $\cdot$  gives us the following relation:

$$\partial^3(b) \cdot a - \partial^3(a) \cdot b = \partial^2(-2(1 \cdot b)\partial(a) - \partial(1 \cdot b)a + 2(1 \cdot a)\partial(b) + \partial(1 \cdot a)b). \quad (9)$$

Put  $c = 1$  in Lemma 4 and use (9). We have

$$\partial^2(a\partial(b) - \partial(a)b) \cdot 1 = \partial^3(b) \cdot a - \partial^3(a) \cdot b. \quad (10)$$

Put in (10)  $a = x^2$  and  $b = x$ . We have

$$\partial^2(x^2 - 2x^2) \cdot 1 = 0.$$

Thus,  $1 \cdot 1 = 0$ . □

**Lemma 6.**  $1 \cdot f(1) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 3 for  $a = 1$  and use Lemma 5. □

**Lemma 7.** For any  $a \in \mathbb{C}[x] \subseteq U$ ,

$$(a \cdot 1) \cdot 1 = 0.$$

*Proof.* By the associativity of the multiplication  $\cdot$  and by Lemma 5, we have

$$(a \cdot 1) \cdot 1 = a \cdot (1 \cdot 1) = a \cdot 0 = 0. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 8.** For any  $a \in \mathbb{C}[x] \subseteq U$ ,

$$\partial^3(1 \cdot a) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3,

$$\partial^3(1 \cdot a) = (1 \cdot a) \cdot f(1) + g(1 \cdot (1 \cdot a)) = (1 \cdot f(1)) \cdot a + g((a \cdot 1) \cdot 1).$$

By Lemmas 6 and 7 our statement is proved. □

**Lemma 9.** For any  $a \in \mathbb{C}[x] \subseteq U$ ,

$$1 \cdot a = 0.$$

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2 and 3,

$$2\partial^3(a) = 1 \cdot f(a) + g(1 \cdot a), \quad \partial^3(a) = a \cdot f(1) + g(a \cdot 1).$$

Thus, by the commutativity of the multiplication  $\cdot$ ,

$$\partial^3(a) = 1 \cdot f(a) - a \cdot f(1).$$

Multiply both sides of this relation by 1 under the multiplication  $\cdot$  and use the associativity and commutativity of the multiplication  $\cdot$ . We obtain

$$1 \cdot \partial^3(a) = 1 \cdot (1 \cdot f(a)) - a \cdot (1 \cdot f(1)).$$

Thus, by Lemmas 6 and 7,

$$1 \cdot \partial^3(a) = 0.$$

Note that any element  $u \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  can be presented in the form  $u = \partial^3(a)$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ . Thus,

$$1 \cdot u = 0, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{C}[x].$$

□

**Lemma 10.** For any  $a \in \mathbb{C}[x] \subseteq U$ ,

$$2\partial^3(a) = 1 \cdot f(a).$$

*Proof.* Follows from Lemmas 2 and 9. □

**Lemma 11.** For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[x] \subseteq U$ ,

$$a \cdot b = 0.$$

*Proof.* For any nonnegative integers  $k, l \geq 0$ , note that

$$x^k = 2\partial^3(b_1), x^l = 2\partial^3(b_2),$$

where

$$b_1 = x^{k+3}/(2(k+3)(k+2)(k+1)), b_2 = (x^{l+3}/(2(l+3)(l+2)(l+1))).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 10,

$$x^k \cdot x^l = (1 \cdot f(b_1)) \cdot (1 \cdot f(b_2)).$$

By the associativity and commutativity of the multiplication  $\cdot$  and by Lemma 5 we have

$$x^k \cdot x^l = 0.$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We set

$$e_k = f(x^k).$$

By Lemma 11

$$a \circ b = a \cdot f(b),$$

and

$$\partial^2(2a\partial(b) + \partial(a)b) = a \cdot f(b). \tag{11}$$

By (11), we have

$$x^k \cdot e_l = (k + 2l)\partial^2(x^{k+l-1}), \quad (12)$$

Therefore, by (12),

$$\begin{aligned} (x^k \cdot e_s) \cdot e_l &= (k + 2s)\partial^2(x^{k+s-1}) \cdot e_l = (k + 2s)(k + s - 1)(k + s - 2)x^{k+s-3} \cdot e_l \\ &= (k + 2s)(k + s - 1)(k + s - 2)(k + s + 2l - 3)\partial^2(x^{k+s+l-4}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for similar reasons,

$$(x^k \cdot e_l) \cdot e_s = (k + 2l)(k + l - 1)(k + l - 2)(k + l + 2s - 3)\partial^2(x^{k+s+l-4}).$$

By the associativity and commutativity of the multiplication  $\cdot$ ,

$$(x^k \cdot e_l) \cdot e_s = (x^k \cdot e_s) \cdot e_l$$

for any nonnegative integers  $k, l, s$ . Therefore, the identity

$$(k + 2s)(k + s - 1)(k + s - 2)(k + s + 2l - 3) = (k + 2l)(k + l - 1)(k + l - 2)(k + l + 2s - 3)$$

holds for sufficiently large nonnegative integers  $k, l$ , and  $s$  (for example,  $k+l+s > 6$ ). It is obvious that this is not true. For example, for  $k = 2, l = 3$ , and  $s = 4$ , we obtain a counterexample:  $1800 = 960$ . The theorem is proved completely.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. A. S. Dzhumadil'daev, "Algebras with skew-symmetric identity of degree 3," *J. Math. Sci.*, **161**, No. 1, 11–30 (2009).

A. S. Dzhumadil'daev  
 Kazakh-British Technical University, Almaty, Kazakhstan  
 E-mail: askar56@hotmail.com  
 K. M. Tulenbaev  
 S. Demirel University, Almaty, Kazakhstan